

### **Discussion paper**

on the operation of Regulation (EC) 785/2004 on insurance requirements...

Q1 : not applicable

Q 2 : What has been the economic impact of the Regulation on general aviation operators ?  
Leisure aircraft operators were obliged to ground a part of their fleet due to the excessive cost burden. The impact on training gliders was really excessive.

Q 3 : not applicable

Q4 : Is there still... due to risks of war or terrorism in respect of non commercial operations ?  
No. The question of terrorism using gliders is just nonsense.

Q 5 : Aircraft still at the airport :  
Not necessary for leisure aircraft and, once again, mainly for the gliders

Q 6 : Not applicable

Q7 : Would there be benefits creating a universal EU insurance certificate for air carriers and aircraft operators ?  
As far as leisure aircraft operators are concerned: NO! Just more papers and more waste of time and money!

Q 8 : Not applicable

Q 9 : Not applicable

Q 10 : Not applicable

Q 11 : In Belgium, insurance requirements are the same for the passenger of a two seats gliders or for the one of a B 747 ...  
Leisure aircrafts operators should have the liberty to evaluate their own risks;

Q 12 :

Q 13 :

Q 14 :

Q 15 : Not applicable

Q 16 : Not applicable

Q 17 : Not applicable

Q 18 : Any simplification will be welcome on the provision of decreasing costs !

Q 19 : Let us take our responsibilities! Too many regulations will kill the business.